

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Gregory Frank Carlson *et al*

Serial No.: 10/650,151

Filed: 08/27/2003

Confirmation No.: 7046

Group Art Unit: 1775

Examiner: Lam, Cathy Fong Fong

Docket No. 10030414-1

For: **RECONFIGURABLE LOGIC THROUGH DEPOSITION OF ORGANIC PATHWAYS**

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants wish to place on record their sincere gratitude towards Examiner for issuing the Notice of Allowance dated May 3, 2007. The Notice of Allowance included two Interview Summary sheets pertaining to telephonic conversations held between Examiner Cathy Lam and Applicants' representative P. S. Dara for discussing the possibility of carrying out certain claim amendments.

Specifically, the first Interview Summary is directed towards a telephone conversation carried out on 15 March 2007 when Examiner called Dara for discussing claims 4 and 28. Examiner proposed replacing the term "circuit device(s)" with the term "transistor" in these two claims, and indicated that allowance of these claims would be favorably considered if the amendment was carried out. Dara offered to contact Applicants' assignee (Avago Technologies) for approval of the amendment. Assignee was not agreeable to carrying out the amendment, because the prior art did not fairly disclose Applicants' claims 4 and 28 as written and the latest Office action did not provide valid justification for rejecting these claims.

Applicants' representative Dara telephoned Examiner on 16 March 2007 to convey Assignee's decision. The second Interview Summary provides certain details of this second telephone call. However, the second Interview Summary does not provide a clear description of the substance of this second interview nor whether agreement was reached (both 'reached' and 'not reached' boxes have

been checked). Applicants wish to place on record the fact that no claim amendments were carried out (in connection with the two telephonic interviews) for obtaining allowance of claims. As can be observed in allowed claims 4 and 28, the term "circuit device(s)" remains unamended. As for allowed claim 29, the term "electronic circuit," (which Examiner had further proposed replacing with the term "transistor") has also been left unamended.

Again, Applicants wish to thank Examiner Cathy Lam for being considerate of Applicants' position in this matter and for issuing the Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Signature: /P. S. Dara/
Name: P. S. Dara
Reg. No. 52,793

I hereby certify that this paper is being electronically transmitted to the Commissioner for Patents on the date shown below:

Date of transmission: 23 May 2007

Signature: /P. S. Dara/

P. S. Dara
7115 Threadstone Overlook
Duluth, GA 30097
(404)-610-5689